

**THE GEOMETRY OF VECTOR BUNDLES AND  
AN INTRODUCTION TO GAUGE THEORY  
LECTURE 34**

PROFESSOR STEVEN BRADLOW  
CLASS NOTES FROM MATH 433

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

April 23, 1998

For a real bundle we defined the  $k$ -th Pontrjagin class,  $P_k(E_{\mathbb{R}}) \in H^{4k}(B; \mathbb{R})$ . We saw that  $P_k(E_{\mathbb{R}}) = (-1)^k C_{2k}(E_{\mathbb{C}})$  where  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  was the complexification of  $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $E_{\mathbb{C}} = E_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . If we start with a complex bundle,  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ , then there is a natural underlying real bundle,  $E_r$ , obtained by forgetting the holomorphic structure. Specifically, we identify  $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and we embed  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . The inclusion for the case where  $n = 1$  is

$$(z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $z = x + iy$ . The higher dimensional case is similar. If

$$E_{\mathbb{C}} = (\coprod U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C}^n) / g_{\alpha\beta},$$

then

$$E_r = (\coprod U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}) / g_{\alpha\beta}^r$$

where the  $g_{\alpha\beta}^r$  are the real analogues of  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  obtained from the inclusion  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . As for any real bundle, we can define the Pontrjagin classes for  $E_r$ .

**Question:** How are  $P_k(E_r)$  and  $C_k(E_{\mathbb{C}})$  related?

Observe that  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  is not  $E_r \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , for  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  has complex rank  $n$  while  $E_r \otimes \mathbb{C}$  has complex rank  $2n$ .

*Exercise 1.* Show that  $E_r \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong E_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bar{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$  as complex bundles.

We thus get  $P_k(E_r) = (-1)^k c_{2k}(E_r \otimes \mathbb{C}) = (-1)^k c_{2k}(E_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bar{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$ . It follows that

$$\sum P_k(E_r)(-1)^k = \sum c_{2k}(E_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bar{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

*Exercise 2.* Show that  $c_{2k+1}(E \oplus \bar{E}) = 0$ . (*Hint:*  $c_l(\bar{E}) = (-1)^l c_l(E)$ )

Using this, we can write

$$\sum P_k(E_r)(-1)^k = \sum c_k(E_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bar{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) = c(E_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bar{E}_{\mathbb{C}}) = c(E_{\mathbb{C}})c(\bar{E}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

This answers our question and shows how the  $c_k(E_{\mathbb{C}})$  determine the  $P_k(E_r)$ .

*Note.* The inclusion  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  has its image in the component of  $\text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  consisting of invertible matrices with positive determinant,  $\text{GL}^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . Hence, the image of  $\text{U}(n)$  lies in  $\text{SO}(2n)$ .

**Example 1.**  $\text{U}(1)$  embeds in  $\text{SO}(n)$  by

$$e^{i\theta} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

So, if

$$E_c = (\coprod U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^n) / g_{\alpha\beta}$$

and

$$E_r = (\coprod U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}) / g_{\alpha\beta}^r$$

then  $\det(g_{\alpha\beta}^r) > 0$ . Similarly, if we put a metric on  $E_c$ , then  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  is  $\text{U}(n)$ -valued and  $g_{\alpha\beta}^r$  is  $\text{SO}(n)$ -valued.

**Definition 1.** If  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is invertible with positive determinant, call  $T$  an *orientation preserving transformation*. Equivalently, if  $\{e_i\}$  is a frame in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{Te_i\}$  have the same orientation. If  $\det(g_{\alpha\beta}) > 0$ , then we can consistently assign an orientation to the fibers of  $E$  by using the trivializations of the bundle, e.g, if  $\psi_b : E_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is the isomorphism with  $b \in U_\alpha$ , then call  $\{\psi_\alpha^{-1}e_i\}$  the *positively oriented frame* for  $E_b$ , where  $\{e_i\}$  is a standard frame for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 2.** Call a bundle  $E$  *orientable* if this is possible, i.e, if we can find transition functions  $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$  with  $\det(g_{\alpha\beta}) > 0$ .

This is equivalent to the claim that we can pick frames where the transition functions are  $\text{SO}(n)$ -valued.

**Corollary.**  $E_r$  is always an orientable bundle.

## Orientable Bundles

Suppose that  $E \rightarrow B$  is an orientable bundle; so, the transition functions can be chosen to be  $\text{SO}(n)$ -valued. In this case, when we check the invariance of a polynomial,  $P : \text{Lie}(\text{O}(n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we need only check the invariance under conjugation by  $T \in \text{SO}(n)$ .

*Note.* Not all bundles,  $E$ , are orientable. How to determine whether a given bundle is orientable is an interesting question, to which we shall return in the coming lectures.

**Question:** Are there any  $P$  which are  $\text{SO}(n)$  invariant but not  $\text{O}(n)$  invariant? If yes, then these define new characteristic classes for orientable bundles which nonorientable bundles will not have.

**Answer:** As we shall see, when the rank of the bundle is odd, the answer is no. When the rank is even, there is a new class, called the *Euler class*,  $e(E)$ .

We saw in the previous lecture that  $A$  is  $\text{O}(n)$  equivalent (under conjugation) to a matrix of one of two types and that  $P(A) = P(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  where  $P$  is an  $\text{O}(n)$  invariant polynomial. Furthermore, we saw that this was symmetric in the  $\{\lambda_i^2\}$ .

Case n=2

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

via

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

But,  $\det(T) = -1$  and so  $T \in O(n)$ ,  $T \notin SO(n)$ .

Case n=3

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

via

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If we choose  $-1$ , then  $T \in SO(n)$ .

In general, if  $n$  is odd, then  $SO(n)$  invariance implies the symmetry under  $\{\lambda_i^2\}$ , which implies  $O(n)$  invariance. If  $n$  is even, this won't happen.

**Claim.** If  $P$  is  $SO(n)$  invariant, then we can write  $P$  as  $P = P_0 + P_1$  where

- (1)  $P_0$  is  $O(n)$  invariant.
- (2)  $P_1(gAg^{-1}) = (\det(g))P_1(A)$  for all  $g \in O(n)$ .

**Proof:** Pick  $g_0 \in O(n) - SO(n)$ . Write

$$P(A) = \frac{P(A) + P(g_0Ag_0^{-1})}{2} + \frac{P(A) - P(g_0Ag_0^{-1})}{2}$$

Then

$$P_0(A) = \frac{1}{2}(P(A) + P(g_0Ag_0^{-1}))$$

and

$$P_1(A) = \frac{1}{2}(P(A) - P(g_0Ag_0^{-1}))$$

273 ALTGELD HALL, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801  
E-mail address: bradlow@math.uiuc.edu