## THE GEOMETRY OF VECTOR BUNDLES AND AN INTRODUCTION TO GAUGE THEORY LECTURE 37

Professor Steven Bradlow Class Notes From Math 433

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

April 29, 1998

## Čech Cohomology and Orientability

**Question**: Given a vector bundle  $E = \coprod U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^n/g_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $g_{\alpha\beta} \in O(n)$ . When can local frames be chosen such that  $g_{\alpha\beta} \in SO(n)$ ?

<u>Last time</u>: Say we can find local gauge transformations  $\lambda_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \to \mathrm{O}(n)$  such that under

$$E|_{U_{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\cong^{\psi_{\alpha}}} U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \xrightarrow{1 \times \lambda_{\alpha}} U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

new transition functions  $\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}=\lambda_{\alpha}\circ g_{\alpha\beta}\circ\lambda_{\beta}^{-1}$  satisfy

$$\det \widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = 1$$
 .

We can reformulate this using

$$\det(g_{\alpha\beta}) = e^{i\pi u_{\alpha\beta}}$$
  
$$\det(\lambda_{\alpha}) = e^{i\pi v_{\alpha}}$$
  
$$u_{\alpha\beta} , v_{\alpha} \in \{0,1\} = \mathbb{Z}_{2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{the cocycle condition} \Longrightarrow u_{\alpha\beta} + u_{\beta\gamma} + u_{\gamma\alpha} = 0 & \text{(closed)} \; , \\ \text{and the condition} \quad \det \widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = 1 \Longrightarrow u_{\alpha\beta} = v_{\beta} - v_{\alpha} & \text{(exact)} \; . \end{array}$$

Cohomology Interretation (using Čech cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  coefficients)

- Fix "suitable" cover  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  for B, where "suitable" means all intersections are contractible and connected.
- Define a 0-cochain to be

$$g^{(0)} = \{ g_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \mid \alpha \in I \} \quad \text{(so } g^{(0)} \text{ defines a map } g^{(0)} : I \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{)}.$$

• Define a 1-cochain to be

$$g^{(1)} = \{ g_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \mid \alpha \neq \beta, \ U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset, \ g_{\alpha\beta} = -g_{\beta\alpha} (= g_{\beta\alpha} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_2!) \}.$$

• Define a j-cochain to be

$$g^{(j)} = \{ g_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_j} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \mid \forall i \neq j, \ \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j, \ \bigcap_{i=0}^j U_{\alpha_i} \neq \emptyset, \ g_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_j} \text{ antisymmetric w.r.t. indices} \} \ .$$

• Define

 $\check{C}^{(j)} = \text{Group of all } j\text{-cochains with group operation } g^{(j)} + f^{(j)} = \{ (g+f)_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_j} = g_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_j} + f_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_j} \}$ .

• Define  $\delta: \check{C}^{(j)} \to \check{C}^{(j+1)}$  by

$$(\delta g^{(j)})_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{j+1}} := \sum_{i=0}^{j+1} (-1)^i g^{(j)}_{\alpha_0 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_i \cdots \alpha_{j+1}},$$

where the "^" on  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  means "delete from the list of indices". For example,

$$\begin{split} \delta: C^{(0)} &\to C^{(1)} & (\delta g^{(0)})_{\alpha\beta} &= g_{\beta}^{(0)} - g_{\alpha}^{(0)} \\ \delta: C^{(1)} &\to C^{(2)} & (\delta g^{(1)})_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= g_{\beta\alpha}^{(1)} - g_{\alpha\gamma}^{(1)} + g_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \,. \end{split}$$

Key Facts: In

$$\check{C}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\delta^{(0)}} \check{C}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\delta^{(1)}} \check{C}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\delta^{(2)}} \cdots$$

- (a)  $\delta$  is a group homomorphism.
- (b)  $\delta^2 = 0$ .

Exercise 1. Prove the above key facts.

Thus  $\operatorname{Im} \delta^{(p)} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \delta^{(p+1)}$  and we can form

$$\check{H}^{(p)}(\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in I}, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\delta^{(p)}}{\operatorname{Im}\delta^{(p-1)}}.$$

Facts:

- (1) Take  $\check{H}^{(0)} = \text{Ker } \delta^{(0)}$ .
- (2) For suitable covers, this result is independent of  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$ .
- (3)  $\check{H}^{(p)}(B, \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^p(B, \mathbb{Z}_2).$

Back to  $\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}$  and  $\{v_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$ 

- Clearly  $\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}$  defines a Čech 1-cochain.
- $u_{\alpha\beta} + u_{\beta\gamma} + u_{\gamma\alpha} = 0$  says  $\delta^{(1)}(\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}) = 0$ . (since  $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}$ , we get  $u_{\gamma\alpha} = -u_{\alpha\gamma}$  etc.)
- Hence  $\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}$  defines elements in  $H^{(1)}(B,\mathbb{Z}_2) = H^1(B,\mathbb{Z})$ . [This is called  $w_1(E)$ , the first Stiefel-Whitney class.]
- $\{v_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  defines  $v^{(0)}\in \check{C}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{\alpha\beta}=v_{\beta}-v_{\alpha}$  says  $\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}=\delta v^{(0)}$ , i.e.,  $w_1(E)=0$ .

Conclusion. E is orientable  $\Longrightarrow w_1(E)$ .

## Remark. The converse holds too:

Consider a vector bundle  $E = \coprod U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^n/g_{\alpha\beta}$ . Define the Čech cochain  $u^{(1)} = \{u_{\alpha\beta}\}$  as above, i.e., det  $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{i\pi u_{\alpha\beta}}$ . As above, this defines  $w_1(E) = [u^{(1)}] \in H^1(B, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

Say  $w_1(E) = 0$ , i.e.,  $[\{u_{\alpha\beta}\}] = 0 \in \check{H}^{(1)}(B, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . It follows that we can find  $\{v_{\alpha}\}$  such that  $u_{\alpha\beta} = v_{\beta} - v_{\alpha}$ .

Claim. Given  $\{v_{\alpha}\}$  with  $v_{\alpha}:U_{\alpha}\to\mathbb{Z}_2$ , we can find  $\lambda_{\alpha}:U_{\alpha}\to \mathrm{O}(n)$  such that  $\det\lambda_{\alpha}=e^{i\pi v_{\alpha}}$ .

Exercise 2. Prove the above claim.

It follows from this, using  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  to adjust local trivialization, that we get  $\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \in SO(n)$ . That is, E is orientable.

We have thus proved:

**Proposition.** E is orientable  $\iff w_1(E) = 0$ .

## **Higher Stiefel Whitney Classes and Obstructions**

- Will see that  $w_1(E)$  is not the only  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -characteristic class. Hence  $w_2(E) \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  etc.
- $w_2(E)$  is also an obstruction to the existence of a **Spin structure**.

273 ALTGELD HALL, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801  $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$ bradlow@math.uiuc.edu