

**THE GEOMETRY OF VECTOR BUNDLES AND
AN INTRODUCTION TO GAUGE THEORY
LECTURE 39**

PROFESSOR STEVEN BRADLOW
CLASS NOTES FROM MATH 433

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

May 4, 1998

Spin Structures

We had a double cover, $p : \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$. If

$$P_{\text{SO}(n)} = \coprod U_\alpha \times \text{SO}(n)/g_{\alpha\beta}$$

is a principal $\text{SO}(n)$ -bundle, then a spin structure for the bundle is

$$P_{\text{Spin}(n)} = \coprod U_\alpha \times \text{Spin}(n)/\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$$

where $p\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}$. This yields a bundle map, $\rho : P_{\text{Spin}(n)} \rightarrow P_{\text{SO}(n)}$.

If $P_{\text{SO}(n)}$ is the bundle of oriented frames for TM , then $P_{\text{Spin}(n)}$ defines a spin structure on M . $TM = P_{\text{SO}(n)} \times_\sigma \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\sigma : \text{SO}(n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Sections of TM are vector fields on M . Given $P_{\text{Spin}(n)}$, denote the induced representation be $\tilde{\sigma} : \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and get $\mathbb{V} = P_{\text{Spin}(n)} \times_{\tilde{\sigma}} \mathbb{R}^n$.

What is the relation between $\text{SO}(n)$ -representations and $\text{Spin}(n)$ -representations? Since by definition $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \circ p : \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that every representation of $\text{SO}(n)$ gives a representation of $\text{Spin}(n)$.

Observe that $\tilde{\sigma}(-I) = \tilde{\sigma}(I) = I$. So, if a representation, $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\text{Spin}(n)$ is such that $\tilde{\sigma}(-I) = \tilde{\sigma}(I)$, then we can define a representation of $\text{SO}(n)$ in the natural way. However, there are representations of $\text{Spin}(n)$ which do not have this property. Infact, we can identify special irreducible representations. This leads to Spin bundles on M . Sections of these bundles are called *spinors*.

Spin_ℂ Structures

$\text{Spin}(n)$ was defined by the exact sequence,

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) \rightarrow 1$$

We now look to double cover $\text{SO}(n) \times S^1 = \text{SO}(n) \times \text{U}(1)$. S^1 is naturally a double cover of S^1 under the map $Z \mapsto z^2$. We could thus look at the map, $\text{Spin}(n) \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) \times S^1$ given by the product of the two covering maps. However, this is a four-fold, rather than two-fold, cover of $\text{SO}(n) \times S^1$. Observe that \mathbb{Z}_2 acts on both $\text{Spin}(n)$ and S^1 (antipodal action). So we can examine the orbits of the action on $\text{Spin}(n) \times S^1$,

$$\text{Spin}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1 = \{[\tilde{g}, \lambda] : [\tilde{g}, \lambda] = [-\tilde{g}, -\lambda]\}$$

We thus make the following definition,

Definition 1. $\text{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}(n) = \text{Spin}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1$.

If we define $\text{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n) \times S^1$ by $[\tilde{g}, \lambda] \mapsto (g, \lambda)$, then $\text{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a double cover of $\text{SO}(n) \times S^1$. We now want an analogue of the bundle, $P_{\text{Spin}(n)} \rightarrow P_{\text{SO}(n)}$. We have that $S^1 = \text{U}(1)$ is the structure group of $P_{\text{U}(1)}$ - a principal $\text{U}(1)$ -bundle (equivalently a complex line bundle). Starting with

$$P_{\text{SO}(n)} \times L = \coprod U_{\alpha} \times (\text{SO}(n) \times \text{U}(1)) / \{g_{\alpha\beta}, l_{\alpha\beta}\}$$

when can we lift to a $\text{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}$ bundle? Locally there is no problem to doing this,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}(n) & \\ \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \times l_{\alpha\beta}^{1/2} \nearrow & & \searrow \\ U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} & \xrightarrow{(g_{\alpha\beta}, l_{\alpha\beta})} & \text{SO}(n) \times \text{U}(1) \end{array}$$

just from basic covering space theory. However, can we pick the lifts $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $l_{\alpha\beta}^{1/2}$ in a way so that the cocycle condition is satisfied? That is, can we pick the lifts so that for all α, β, γ with $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$,

$$[\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{g}_{\beta\gamma} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\alpha}, l_{\alpha\beta}^{1/2} l_{\beta\gamma}^{1/2} l_{\gamma\alpha}^{1/2}] = 1 \in \text{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$$

i.e., we must get $[1, 1]$ or $[-1, -1]$.

273 ALTGELD HALL, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801
E-mail address: bradlow@math.uiuc.edu